

### E-336 Progress and Plans for FY24

## Feasibility studies of the FACET-II beam interaction with nanotube materials

Max F. Gilljohann on behalf of the E336 collaboration

Principal Investigators: Sébastien Corde and Toshiki Tajima

loa.ensta-paris.fr

ERC & ANR (UnRIP project, Grant No. ANR-20-CE30-0030).

00

OA

# E336 – Beam-Nanotarget Interaction





#### Scientific goals

- Proof-of-principle experiment demonstrate feasibility of studying beamnanotarget interaction and beam-induced wakefields in nanotargets.
- Observation of electron beam nano-modulation.
- Observation of betatron X-ray radiation.
- · Confirmation of simulation models.

#### Definition of success

- Clearly distinguishable interaction with nanotarget and amorphous targets. (1.5 year)
- Systematic parametric studies with various target and beam parameters.
- Coherence with numerical and analytical models to support the interpretation and (3 year) understanding of the interaction (beam nano-modulation etc.).



#### Current state

- Experimental safety review carried out.
- "Nanotargets" installed and beam damage tested.
- Alignment control installed, alignment diagnostic almost ready.

#### Next steps

Phase 1 – FY24-25	<ul> <li>Relative angular alignment diagnostic (on-line).</li> <li>Absolute angular alignment diagnostic (invasive).</li> <li>First signature of beam-nanotarget interaction.</li> </ul>
Phase 2 – FY25-26	<ul> <li>Improve/upgrade experimental hardware and targets.</li> <li>Advanced characterization of beam-nanotarget interaction with full set of sample and FACET-II beam parameters.</li> </ul>
Phase 3 (conditional)	<ul> <li>Going from transverse wakefields and beam dynamics to longitudinal wakefields.</li> </ul>



#### Target mount with 1 $\mu$ rad tip/tilt resolution

### E336 – Relative Alignment Diagnostic





#### Main observables

- Growth of transverse momentum spread
- Beam deflection (for tilted targets)
- X-rays and  $\gamma$ -rays

#### **Beam Diagnostics**

- Electrons
  - High-resolution in-vacuum OTR at the dump table (DTOTR)
- Gammas
  - γ screens at the dump table and Gamma Detection Chamber (UCLA)







#### Mounting of angular control for nanotarget



Target assembly before modification

Kinematic mount with E336 samples added to bottom solid target mount



- Modified E305 target mount in the least invasive way to other experiments.
- Angular control using tip/tilt kinematic mount with pico motors.
- This fulfils E336 requirements (according to PAC).
  - Angle:  $< 20 \ \mu rad$  presicion and  $\sim 2 \ deg$  range
  - Translation:  $10 \ \mu m$  to  $100 \ \mu m$  precision and  $5 \ cm$  range
- Design of angular diagnostic (almost ready for integration).



#### Beam-induced target damage tests

- Sample installed: 1 mm thickness lead glass with  $6 \mu m$  diameter hollow tubes.
- 2 hours of E336 beamtime on 08/01/22 to send beam into nanotargets and assess the damage.
- Irradiated two positions, then re-optimized L2 phase for best drilling/compression (Al 0.1 mm drilled in 3 min at 10 Hz), and then tested again Al 1 mm and nanotarget in optimized conditions.
- Although damage is observed, nanotarget is fairly resistant.
  - Nanotarget: 15% decrease in 15 min @ 10 Hz
  - Al 1 mm: 50% decrease in 15 min @ 10 Hz (decrease in radiation)







#### 2D PIC simulation campaign – Modelling beam ionization of non-conducting material



Silica with  $\phi = 200 \text{ nm}$  hollow tubes and  $\sigma_r = \sigma_{\parallel} = 5 \mu \text{m}$  bunch size.

- Beam self-fields are strong enough to ionize.
- Partially ionized plasma can screen beam self-fields and suppress further ionization.
- Ionization is strong enough to enable the nanotube-plasma-response that is responsible for the transverse beam-dynamics and nano-modulation.
- Nano-modulation is similar in the case of fully pre-ionized target.



#### 2D PIC simulation campaign – Beam-surface interaction



- Electric fields of beam electrons are shielded by the surface plasma.
- Magnetic fields penetrate further into the solid than electric fields.
- Beam electrons in this gap are accelerated towards the tube center.



- Beam is deflected when the nanotarget is tilted.
  - Powerful mean to fine-tune the alignment.
  - Straightforward signature of the beam-nanotarget interaction.
- With  $\phi = 2 \mu m$  tubes ( $\phi = 6 \mu m$  installed), maximum deflection of 0.75 mrad is reached for a tilt of 1.5 mrad.



#### Carbon nanotube targets

- Gianluca Cavoto and Ilaria Rago (INFN) joined collaboration.
- Up to 100's of micrometer length possible.
- Currently working out sets of parameters that are technically achievable and suitable for E336.



Example from nano-lab.com



#### Plans for E336 experimental setup

- Comissioning of angular target alignment and relative on-line diagnostic.
- Invasive diagnostic for absolute angular alignment; uses greene (that is aligned to beam axis) to tune the retro-reflection from the nanotarget.
- Both diagnostics were reviewed in the E336 experimental safety review.

#### Plans for E336 shifts

- Full 2D angular scan of the nanotarget, looking for beam kicks.
- Characterize beam-nanotarget interaction once angular alignment is achieved. Compare to amorphous material.



#### Desired facility upgrades

- E336 benefits from highest bunch density and smallest emittances.
  - Charge per tube scales with  $Q/\sigma_r^2$  (areal charge density), and the scale fo the transverse force acting on beam particles goes as  $n_b d$  (with the nanotube diameter d).
  - The emittance acts against beam transverse modulation, with an effective force in the envelope equation going as  $\epsilon_n^2/d^3$  which must be small compared to the force from the nanotube plasma response

Example: for  $d = 0.3 \ \mu\text{m}$  and  $10 \ \mu\text{m}$  beam size,  $50 \ \text{kA}$  and  $5 \ \text{mm}$  mrad works,  $20 \ \text{kA}$  and  $20 \ \text{mm}$  mrad doesn't.

# E336 – Collaboration and Publications

#### Collaboration and institutions

- IP Paris/LOA: Sébastien Corde, Max Gilljohann and Yuliia Mankovska
- UC Irvine: Peter Taborek and Toshiki Tajima
- Fermilab: Henryk Piekarz and Vladimir Shiltsev
- SLAC: Robert Ariniello, Mark Hogan, Alexander Knetsch and Doug Storey
- CEA: Xavier Davoine and Laurent Gremillet
- IST: Bertrand Martinez and Pablo San Miguel Claveria
- INFN: Laura Bandiera, Gianluca Cavoto, Ilaria Rago and Alexei Sytov

#### Publications and conferences

- White paper for Snowmass in AF6 Advanced Accelerator Concepts (arXiv:2203.07459)
- JINST Snowmass paper (close to publication)
- Simulation paper about transverse microbunching in preparation (MG and BM)
- Posters @ EAAC2023 (MG), LPAW 23 (MG), IPAC 2023 (AS), ICABU 2023 (AS, upcoming)
- Talks @ AAC'22 (AK and RA, each), Channeling 2023 (AS)



Goal: Studying beam-nanotarget interaction

State: Target is installed, alignment diagnostic almost ready for installation

First tests: Beam-induced target damage

Simulation campaign: Explaining the physics & extracting experimental signatures

FY24 plans: Measuring signatures of beam-nanotarget interaction, commission angular alignment & diagnostic, and working on new targets













Supplementary



